



# **Molecular control of pluripotency** Laurie A Boyer<sup>1</sup>, Divya Mathur<sup>1,2</sup> and Rudolf Jaenisch<sup>1,2</sup>

Transcriptional regulators and epigenetic modifiers play crucial roles throughout development to ensure that proper gene expression patterns are established and maintained in any given cell type. Recent genome-wide studies have begun to unravel how genetic and epigenetic factors maintain the undifferentiated state of embryonic stem cells while allowing these cells to remain poised to differentiate into somatic cells in response to developmental cues. These studies provide a conceptual framework for understanding pluripotency and lineage-specification at the molecular level.

#### Addresses

<sup>1</sup>Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

<sup>2</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

Corresponding author: Jaenisch, Rudolf (jaenisch@wi.mit.edu)

#### Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 2006, 16:455-462

This review comes from a themed issue on Differentiation and gene regulation Edited by Vincenzo Pirotta and Maarten von Lohuizen

Available online 22nd August 2006

0959-437X/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI 10.1016/j.gde.2006.08.009

### Introduction

Cells of the embryonic inner cell mass and their in vitro derivatives, embryonic stem (ES) cells, possess the remarkable property of pluripotency, the ability to give rise to all cells of the organism [1]. For this reason, ES cells are thought to hold great promise for regenerative medicine. Therefore, a detailed understanding of the mechanisms that enable propagation of ES cells in a pluripotent state, poised to execute a broad range of developmental programs, is essential to realizing their therapeutic potential. In metazoans, the establishment and maintenance of lineage-specific gene expression programs determines cell identity. Many regulators of these processes are highly conserved throughout evolution and are vital for development [2,3]. External environmental factors can also influence gene regulation [4–6] but are not discussed in this review. Here, we focus on studies over the past two years that reveal how genetic and epigenetic factors control ES cell identity and influence the balance between pluripotency and differentiation in mammals.

### Genetic control of pluripotency

Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog are key regulators of pluripotency The homeodomain transcription factors Oct4 (also known as Pou5f1) and Nanog have been identified as crucial regulators of pluripotency and are predominantly expressed in pluripotent cell types (Table 1) (for detailed review, see [5]). Loss of Oct4 causes inappropriate differentiation of the inner cell mass and ES cells into trophectoderm, whereas overexpression of Oct4 results in differentiation into primitive endoderm and mesoderm, suggesting that precise Oct4 levels are necessary for pluripotency [7,8]. Oct4 can regulate gene expression by interacting with other factors within the nucleus, including the high mobility group (HMG)-box transcription factor Sox2 [6]. Although Sox2 plays an important role in the maintenance of pluripotency and lineage specification, its expression is not restricted to pluripotent cells, because Sox2 is also found in early neural lineages [9]. Cells lacking Nanog spontaneously differentiate into primitive endoderm [10,11]. Conversely, overexpression of Nanog promotes self-renewal independent of the cytokine leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), which functions by activating the transcription factor Stat3 [12]. Although the LIF-Stat3 pathway is dispensable in human ES cells, recent functional analyses indicate an analogous role for Oct4 and Nanog in these cells [13,14]. Thus, Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog are the earliest-expressed set of genes known to maintain pluripotency. Together, these studies suggest that Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog function in distinct pathways that might converge to regulate certain common genomic targets. It is likely that the interplay among these factors is critical for early cell fate decisions.

# The balance between a minimal set of lineage-specific

transcription factors might drive early cell-fate decisions The simplest model for how Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog function is that they collaborate with other transcription factors to specify a pluripotent state and thus form the basis of a transcription factor hierarchy. Consistent with this, the balance between the levels of Oct4 and the caudal-type homeodomain transcription factor Cdx2 has recently been shown to influence the first overt lineage differentiation in the embryo [15<sup>•</sup>]. Oct4 and Cdx2 expression patterns become mutually exclusive during embryogenesis, owing, in part, to their ability to reciprocally repress each other's expression. Oct4 is associated with the establishment of the ICM, whereas Cdx2 is necessary for trophectoderm development [16]. Oct4 is lost from the outer cells of the morula that become fated for trophectoderm, whereas Cdx2 expression is restricted to these cells. Oct4 and Cdx2 also regulate the T-box transcription factor eomesodermin (eomes), which, like

Table 1	
---------	--

Transcription factor	Protein family	Expression pattern	Loss-of-function phenotype		Gain-of-function
			Embryonic development	ES cells	phenotype in ES cells
Oct4	Pit-Oct-Unc protein family	Oocytes, fertilized embryo, ICM, epiblast, ES cells, embryonic carcinoma cells, germ cells	Embryonic lethal (blastocyst stage), differentiation of epiblast into trophectoderm lineage	Loss of pluripotency, differentiation into trophectoderm lineage	Differentiation into primitive endoderm and mesoderm
Nanog	Novel homeodomain protein	Morula, ICM, epiblast, ES cells, embryonic carcinoma cells, germ cells	Embryonic lethal (E5.5), lack of epiblast, differentiation of ICM into primitive endoderm	Loss of pluripotency, differentiation into primitive endoderm	LIF–Stat3-independent self-renewal, resistance to retinoic acid-induced differentiation
Sox2	SRY-related HMG box protein	Oocytes, ICM, epiblast, germ cells, multipotent cells of extraembryonic ectoderm, cells of neural lineage, brachial arches, gut endoderm	Embryonic lethal (E6.5), failure to maintain epiblast	Unknown	Unknown
Stat3	Signal transducer and activator of transcription family protein	Wide ranges of cell types	Embryonic lethal (E6.5-7.5)	Differentiation into primitive endoderm and mesoderm (Stat3 signaling is dispensable in human ES cells)	LIF-independent self renewal
Cdx2	Caudal-type homeodomain protein	Outer morula cells, trophectoderm cell lineages	Embryonic lethal due to implantation failure (lack of functional trophectoderm)	Normal contribution to all cell lineages except trophectoderm and intestinal cells	Differentiation into trophoblast
Gata6	GATA-binding protein	Extraembryonic endoderm lineages	Embryonic lethal (E5.5– 7.5), defects in visceral endoderm formation	Unknown	Differentiation into primitive endoderm
Gata4	GATA-binding protein	Extraembryonic endoderm lineages	Embryonic lethal (E8–9), defects in heart morphogenesis	Can generate cardiac myocytes, inability to generate visceral endoderm and definitive endoderm of foregut	Differentiation into primitive endoderm

Cdx2, is necessary for trophectoderm maintenance [15<sup>•</sup>]. These studies suggest that the interaction between these factors is essential for segregation of the inner cell mass and trophectoderm lineages during early development.

A similar balance between Nanog levels and the transcription factors Gata4 and Gata6 might be necessary for differentiation into primitive endoderm, a derivative of the inner cell mass of the developing blastocyst. Forced expression of Gata4 or Gata6 in ES cells leads to differentiation into primitive endoderm, an effect similar to that caused by the loss of Nanog function [11,17,18]. Moreover, Gata4 and Gata6 expression was upregulated in the absence of Nanog [11]. Together, these studies suggest that a minimal set of lineage-specific transcription factors can drive early cell fate decisions (Table 1). However, it is likely that other genetic, epigenetic and environmental factors play an important role in this process.

# Transcriptional regulatory networks in pluripotent ES cells

Given that factors orchestrating early cell fate decisions also regulate ES cell pluripotency, Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog are thought to establish the initial genomic state from which all other gene expression patterns are derived during development. Recent genomics studies have enabled the construction of transcriptional regulatory networks in ES cells that provide a foundation for understanding how Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog control pluripotency and influence subsequent differentiation events. Two groups have used chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) combined with genome-wide methodologies to map the

(Figure 1 Legend) Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in pluripotent mouse and human ES cells. (a) Embryonic stem (ES) cells are derived from the pluripotent cells of the inner cell mass (ICM), which normally gives rise to the embryo. (b) Genomics studies have enabled the construction of a core transcriptional regulatory network in ES cells, initiated by Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog. This network reveals an integrated circuitry comprising genes that specify the development of both the extraembryonic and the embryonic lineages. Shown are a few examples of the circuitry components identified in the mouse and human studies. Boxes and circles indicate genes and proteins, respectively. Arrows represent interactions only, and not positive or negative effects. Genes for which binding information with mouse Sox2 is also available are marked with an asterisk.





binding sites for OCT4 and NANOG throughout the human and mouse ES cell genomes [19<sup>••</sup>,20<sup>••</sup>]. These studies identified a large number of target genes and revealed that OCT4, NANOG and, in the case of human ES cells, SOX2 share a substantial portion of their targets. These studies have begun to reveal the circuitry that is responsible for the combined biological output of these ES cell regulators.

# Similarities and differences between mouse and human ES cell genomic targets

Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog occupied both transcriptionally active and inactive genes in mouse and human ES cells (Figure 1). Active genes include the transcription factors Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog themselves, as well as others that are highly expressed in ES cells, such as Rif1, Jarid2 and Smarcad1. Rif1 has been implicated in regulating telomere length and might be important for self-renewal [21]. Although Jarid2 and Smarcad1 have important roles in development [22,23], their contribution to pluripotency is unknown. Interestingly, a large portion of the inactive targets identified in mouse and human ES cells include transcription factors involved in lineage-specification (Figure 1) [19<sup>••</sup>,20<sup>••</sup>]. The developmental importance of these genes suggests that Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog act in concert to maintain pluripotency by directly controlling a transcriptional regulatory hierarchy that specifies differentiation into extraembryonic lineages in addition to derivatives of the primary germ layers.

A comparison of Oct4- and Nanog-bound regions identified in these studies, however, revealed only modest similarity between the target genes in the two species. For instance, certain genes such as Heart and neural crest derivatives expressed 1 (HAND1) and MYST3 were identified as targets of OCT4 and NANOG exclusively in human ES cells, whereas others such as Estrogen-related receptor b (Esrrb) were observed only in mouse cells. It is interesting to note that although Hand1 was not identified as a target in mouse ES cells, its expression was upregulated upon RNAi-mediated silencing of both *Esrrb* and *Rif1* in mouse ES cells [20<sup>••</sup>]. The lack of orthologous genomic targets could be due to genuine differences between the gene regulatory networks or a result of the dissimilarities in genomic platforms used in these studies. Detailed comparisons of Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog target genes between the two species will be imperative for determining the extent to which genetic regulatory information can be extrapolated from one species to the other.

Although these studies provide an initial framework for deciphering the mechanisms by which these key regulators elicit their effects, genetic manipulation of *Oct4*, *Sox2* and *Nanog* combined with gene expression analyses are necessary to elucidate which of their targets are important for the maintenance of pluripotency or downstream differentiation events. Such analyses, reported in the same study that identified mouse Oct4 and Nanog targets [20<sup>••</sup>], as well as in another recent study [24<sup>•</sup>] in which mouse ES cell gene expression patterns were profiled under a wide range of conditions, are critical steps in this direction [24<sup>•</sup>]. In addition to confirming a role for *Esrrb* in mouse, Ivanova and colleagues [24<sup>•</sup>] recognized *T-cell* leukemia/lymphoma 1 (Tcl1) and T-box protein 3 (Tbx3) as being important factors for sustaining an undifferentiated state. Interestingly, Esrrb has been shown to be important for placental development and germ cell proliferation [25], and Tcl1, which is highly expressed in ES cells [11], enhances cell proliferation and survival through augmentation of phosphoinositide-3 kinase PI3K-Akt signaling [26,27]. Thus, how these factors contribute to ES selfrenewal and pluripotency is of particular interest. Together, these genome-wide studies suggest that Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog form the basis for specialized transcriptional regulatory circuitry that allows for consistent gene expression patterning during ES cell propagation.

## Epigenetic control of pluripotency Chromatin dynamics and epigenetic profile of pluripotent ES cells

Chromatin reorganization is essential for the establishment of new heritable gene expression programs that accompany lineage specification (Figure 2) [27]. For example, ES cell chromatin displays characteristics of transcriptionally permissive euchromatin, such as an abundance of acetylated histone modifications and increased accessibility to nucleases. Conversely, lineage specification is typified by a decrease in acetylation and concomitant increase in heterochromatin formation, indicating that restriction of developmental potential is associated with a marked decrease in genome plasticity. Recent studies have revealed additional unique properties of pluripotent chromatin that distinguish these cells from their differentiated progeny.

A recent analysis of global chromatin dynamics revealed a highly dynamic association of structural chromatin proteins (e.g. core and variant histones, the linker histone H1, and the heterochromatin associated protein HP1 $\alpha$ ) with the chromatin of pluripotent cells compared with that of differentiated cell types [28<sup>••</sup>]. This study also showed that replacement of histone H1 with a version that binds more tightly to chromatin inhibited ES cell differentiation, whereas genetic manipulation of the association of histone H3 and its variant H3.3, a marker of active transcription, with chromatin caused accelerated differentiation. These data posit that structural proteins remain loosely associated with chromatin in pluripotent cells, thereby enabling the reorganization of chromatin structure during differentiation.

Consistent with the observation that the chromatin of pluripotent nuclei is in an 'open' conformation, recent studies have shown that tissue-specific genes that are



#### Figure 2

Epigenetic characteristics of pluripotent and lineage committed cells. PcG proteins have recently been shown to reversibly silence developmental regulators in ES cells, a process that might be necessary for the propagation of an undifferentiated state [33\*,34\*]. These regulators, which are early-replicating, contain highly conserved non-coding elements (HCNEs), which are rich in bivalent domains that consist of both H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 modifications [31\*,32\*]. These domains might provide an epigenetic indexing system to mark genes for expression at later developmental stages. During differentiation of ES cells, the bivalent marks resolve, because early-replicating genes that are expressed in the lineage-committed cells maintain or acquire activating H3K4me3 marks, and late-replicating genes that are turned off in these cells possess repressive H3K27me3 modifications. Notably, genes that are weakly induced still possess bivalent domains.

expected to be silent in undifferentiated cells might be in a semi-permissive transcriptional state in ES cells [29,30]. For example, active epigenetic marks were noted in ES cells at discrete sites within the B cell-specific  $\lambda 5$ –*VpreB1* locus prior to gene activation during B-cell commitment [30]. Two recent reports [31°,32°] support such an epigenetic indexing mechanism by revealing the existence of dual marks or 'bivalent' domains, consisting of repressive histone H3K27me3 and activating histone H3K4me3 modifications at a large set of developmentally important genes that are silent in ES cells but activated upon differentiation. These studies suggest that lineage-specific genes are cued in ES cells for subsequent activation during differentiation. Furthermore, bivalent domains coincide with the most highly conserved non-coding elements in the mammalian genome, suggesting an evolutionarily conserved role for these chromatin domains [32°]. The additional observation that Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog occupied a significant subset of genes that harbor bivalent domains supports a link between the repression of developmental regulators and stem cell pluripotency [19°, 32°–34°]. It is important to note that not all tissue-specific genes appear to contain these bivalent marks, and the underlying chromatin structure at these genes and their contributions to pluripotency await further characterization.

# A role for Polycomb group proteins in maintaining ES cell identity?

Gene expression is influenced by enzymatic activities that can induce both global and local changes in chromatin structure. Polycomb group (PcG) proteins were first identified in *Drosophila* as transcriptional repressors of homeotic gene expression during embryogenesis [35]. PcG proteins comprise at least two distinct repressor complexes (PRC1 and PRC2–PRC3), the core components of which are highly conserved between fly and human [36]. A role for PcG proteins in pluripotency in mammals was suggested on the basis that PcG components are required for early developmental gene expression patterning [37–40], the establishment of pluripotent ES cell lines [39], and for adult stem cell maintenance [41,42].

Recently, the location of PcG components throughout the genome was mapped in Drosophila [43-45] and mammals [33<sup>•</sup>,34<sup>•</sup>,46]. Studies in human and mouse ES cells revealed that PRC1 and PRC2 bind to a large set of genes composed of transcriptional regulators and signaling factors with known roles in development. Genes occupied by PcG proteins also contained H3K27me3 in their promoter regions, a repressive histone modification catalyzed by PRC2. Many of the target genes were derepressed in the absence of the PRC2 components Eed or Suz12, indicating a direct functional link between PRC2 and gene silencing in ES cells [32<sup>•</sup>,33<sup>•</sup>]. ES cells lacking Eed can contribute to most cell lineages, suggesting that PcG proteins are not necessary for maintaining pluripotency [47]. However, the observations that *Eed* mutant ES cells spontaneously differentiate [33<sup>•</sup>] and that ES cells cannot be derived from blastocysts deficient for the PRC2 component Ezh2 [39] suggest that PcG proteins are necessary for ES cell identity, leaving this an open question.

These studies revealed a dynamic role for PcG proteins in gene silencing in ES cells. The finding that PcG target genes were preferentially activated upon ES cell differentiation implied that these genes were poised for activation [33<sup>•</sup>,34<sup>•</sup>]. In flies, the maintenance of heritable epigenetic states requires the interplay between repression mediated by PcG proteins and activation mediated by Trithorax group (Trx) proteins [35]. Trx proteins catalyze lysine 4 trimethylation on histone H3 (H3K4me3) [48]. Interestingly, many of the PcG target genes contained bivalent chromatin domains in their promoter regions [31<sup>-34</sup>], which were resolved during ES cell differentiation into either H3K27me3 or H3K4me3 domains, consistent with the idea that gene expression is governed by the balance between positively and negatively acting factors [49].

Many of the PcG target genes that harbour both H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 marks replicated early in

S phase in ES cells, a property associated euchromatin [31°,50]. However, replication timing was not significantly altered in *Eed* mutant ES cells [30], suggesting that the presence of H3K4me3 or additional factors is required to maintain these genes in a semi-permissive transcriptional state. Notably, a significant subset of PcG target genes were also bound by Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog, suggesting that these ES cell regulators play a role in recruiting PcG complexes [33°,34°]. Identifying the components that catalyze the addition of the activating mark at these genes in ES cells, as well as identifying the factors that recruit PcG and Trx proteins, will be important to better understand how these genes are regulated in pluripotent cells.

A recent study also revealed a role for methyl-CpG binding domain protein 3 (Mbd3), an essential component of the nucleosome remodeling and histone deace-tylation (NuRD) complex, in ES cell differentiation [51<sup>•</sup>]. In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, germline-specific chromatin states specified through PcG-like activities are reorganized in somatic cells by a NuRD-like activity [52]. Thus, it is likely that the balance between pluripotency and lineage commitment is orchestrated by both genetic and epigenetic factors whose roles are to establish and maintain correct gene expression programs during development.

### **Conclusions and prospects**

The recent studies highlighted in this review have made important contributions toward elucidating the complexity of cell fate determination and suggest mechanisms for the stable propagation of a pluripotent state. Despite these efforts, Oct4 and Nanog remain the only two transcriptional regulators identified to date whose role is specific to pluripotent cells. Therefore, it will continue to be of interest how Oct4 and Nanog themselves are regulated and whether there are any other similar pluripotency factors.

The limited success with cloning embryos by nuclear transfer, and the failure to re-establish the gene expression patterns of an early embryo has established an obligatory role for epigenetic processes during development and for nuclear reprogramming [53]. Thus, an understanding of how chromatin states are organized in the embryo and in ES cells is essential for our understanding of pluripotency. The changes in gene expression that lead to differentiation are generally initiated through a response to external cues, where transcription factors are most often the ultimate targets of such signals. Studies have indicated that mouse and human ES cells differ in their requirements for various exogenous factors, such as LIF and bone morphogenic proteins [4-6]. Thus, investigating how extrinsic signals specify intrinsic gene expression programs and cell identity is an important next step. Ultimately, it will be essential to determine

which of these genetic and epigenetic mechanisms are conserved in all embryo-derived pluripotent cell types.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Tom DiCesare for help with illustrations, and members of the Jaenisch laboratory for critical review of the manuscript. This work was supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health and NCI to RJ.

### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Keller G: Embryonic stem cell differentiation: emergence of a new era in biology and medicine. *Genes Dev* 2005, 19:1129-1155.
- de la Serna IL, Ohkawa Y, Imbalzano AN: Chromatin remodelling in mammalian differentiation: lessons from ATP-dependent remodellers. Nat Rev Genet 2006, 7:461-473.
- 3. Lin W, Dent SY: Functions of histone-modifying enzymes in development. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2006, **16**:137-142.
- Burdon T, Smith A, Savatier P: Signalling, cell cycle and pluripotency in embryonic stem cells. *Trends Cell Biol* 2002, 12:432-438.
- 5. Smith AG: Embryo-derived stem cells: of mice and men. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2001, **17**:435-462.
- 6. Boiani M, Scholer HR: Regulatory networks in embryo-derived pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2005, 6:872-884.
- Nichols J, Zevnik B, Anastassiadis K, Niwa H, Klewe-Nebenius D, Chambers I, Scholer H, Smith A: Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4. *Cell* 1998, 95:379-391.
- 8. Niwa H, Miyazaki J, Smith AG: Quantitative expression of Oct-3/4 defines differentiation, dedifferentiation or self-renewal of ES cells. *Nat Genet* 2000, 24:372-376.
- Avilion AA, Nicolis SK, Pevny LH, Perez L, Vivian N, Lovell-Badge R: Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. *Genes Dev* 2003, 17:126-140.
- Chambers I, Colby D, Robertson M, Nichols J, Lee S, Tweedie S, Smith A: Functional expression cloning of Nanog, a pluripotency sustaining factor in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* 2003, **113**:643-655.
- Mitsui K, Tokuzawa Y, Itoh H, Segawa K, Murakami M, Takahashi K, Maruyama M, Maeda M, Yamanaka S: The homeoprotein Nanog is required for maintenance of pluripotency in mouse epiblast and ES cells. *Cell* 2003, 113:631-642.
- Matsuda T, Nakamura T, Nakao K, Arai T, Katsuki M, Heike T, Yokota T: STAT3 activation is sufficient to maintain an undifferentiated state of mouse embryonic stem cells. *EMBO J* 1999, 18:4261-4269.
- Zaehres H, Lensch MW, Daheron L, Stewart SA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Daley GQ: High-efficiency RNA interference in human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2005, 23:299-305.
- Hyslop L, Stojkovic M, Armstrong L, Walter T, Stojkovic P, Przyborski S, Herbert M, Murdoch A, Strachan T, Lako M: Downregulation of NANOG induces differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to extraembryonic lineages. *Stem Cells* 2005, 23:1035-1043.
- 15. Niwa H, Toyooka Y, Shimosato D, Strumpf D, Takahashi K, Yagi R,
- Rossant J: Interaction between Oct3/4 and Cdx2 determines trophectoderm differentiation. Cell 2005, 123:917-929.
- This study uses conventional genetic approaches to show that the balance between Oct3/4 and Cdx2 might be sufficient to drive early

cell-fate decisions in the mouse. The authors show that Oct3/4 and Cdx2 can form a complex and that the reciprocal inhibition between these transcription factors might specify differentiation into the trophectoderm lineage.

- Strumpf D, Mao CA, Yamanaka Y, Ralston A, Chawengsaksophak K, Beck F, Rossant J: Cdx2 is required for correct cell fate specification and differentiation of trophectoderm in the mouse blastocyst. *Development* 2005, 132:2093-2102.
- Fujikura J, Yamato E, Yonemura S, Hosoda K, Masui S, Nakao K, Miyazaki Ji J, Niwa H: Differentiation of embryonic stem cells is induced by GATA factors. *Genes Dev* 2002, 16:784-789.
- Capo-Chichi CD, Rula ME, Smedberg JL, Vanderveer L, Parmacek MS, Morrisey EE, Godwin AK, Xu XX: Perception of differentiation cues by GATA factors in primitive endoderm lineage determination of mouse embryonic stem cells. *Dev Biol* 2005, 286:574-586.
- 19. Boyer LA, Lee TI, Cole MF, Johnstone SE, Levine SS, Zucker JP,
- Guenther MG, Kumar RM, Murray HL, Jenner RG et al.: Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human embryonic stem cells. Cell 2005, 122:947-956.

This is the first study that uses ChIP combined with oligonucleotide arrays to identify the genomic binding sites for OCT4, SOX2 and NANOG in human ES cells. The authors report that these regulators occupy a large set of both active and inactive genes. Many of the inactive genes encoded developmental transcription factors, indicating that OCT4, SOX2 and NANOG might directly block differentiation. These data also indicate that OCT4, SOX2 and NANOG form the basis for a regulatory circuitry consisting of auto-regulatory and feed-forward loops.

- 20. Loh YH, Wu Q, Chew JL, Vega VB, Zhang W, Chen X, Bourque G,
  George J, Leong B, Liu J et al.: The Oct4 and Nanog
  - transcription network regulates pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat Genet* 2006, **38**:431-440.

In this study, ChIP is combined with high-throughput sequencing to determine the genomic targets of Oct4 and Nanog in murine ES cells. The authors show that Oct4 and Nanog co-occupy a significant portion of their target genes. This study also uses RNAi to investigate whether the expression of target genes is disrupted upon depletion of Oct4 and Nanog. Further analysis using RNAi showed that differential expression of the common downstream target genes *Esrrb* and *Rif1* causes ES cell differentiation.

- 21. Adams IR, McLaren A: Identification and characterisation of mRif1: a mouse telomere-associated protein highly expressed in germ cells and embryo-derived pluripotent stem cells. *Dev Dyn* 2004, **229**:733-744.
- Jung J, Mysliwiec MR, Lee Y: Roles of JUMONJI in mouse embryonic development. Dev Dyn 2005, 232:21-32.
- Schoor M, Schuster-Gossler K, Gossler A: The Etl-1 gene encodes a nuclear protein differentially expressed during early mouse development. Dev Dyn 1993, 197:227-237.
- 24. Ivanova N, Dobrin R, Lu R, Kotenko I, Levorse J, Decoste C,
  Schafer X, Lun Y, Lemischka IR: Dissecting self-renewal in stem cells with RNA interference. *Nature* 2006, 442:533-538.

This study used a genetic approach to identify factors that control selfrenewal in murine ES cells. The authors combined RNAi with dynamic, global analyses of gene expression to investigate the roles of Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog in maintaining an ES cell state. This study also led to the identification of several other downstream factors with possible roles in ES cell pluripotency and self-renewal.

- Mitsunaga K, Araki K, Mizusaki H, Morohashi K, Haruna K, Nakagata N, Giguere V, Yamamura K, Abe K: Loss of PGCspecific expression of the orphan nuclear receptor ERR-β results in reduction of germ cell number in mouse embryos. *Mech Dev* 2004, 121:237-246.
- 26. Teitell MA: The TCL1 family of oncoproteins: co-activators of transformation. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005, **5**:640-648.
- Meshorer E, Misteli T: Chromatin in pluripotent embryonic stem cells and differentiation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2006, 7:540-546.
- 28. Meshorer E, Yellajoshula D, George E, Scambler PJ, Brown DT,
- •• Misteli T: Hyperdynamic plasticity of chromatin proteins in pluripotent embryonic stem cells. Dev Cell 2006, 10:105-116.

This study used biochemical techniques to investigate the global chromatin properties of pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. The association of structural proteins was highly dynamic in ES cells, indicating that genome plasticity is functionally important for maintenance of the undifferentiated state.

- Levings PP, Zhou Z, Vieira KF, Crusselle-Davis VJ, Bungert J: Recruitment of transcription complexes to the  $\beta$ -globin locus 29 control region and transcription of hypersensitive site 3 prior to erythroid differentiation of murine embryonic stem cells. FEBS J 2006. 273:746-755.
- 30 Szutorisz H, Canzonetta C, Georgiou A, Chow CM, Tora L, Dillon N: Formation of an active tissue-specific chromatin domain initiated by epigenetic marking at the embryonic stem cell stage. Mol Cell Biol 2005, 25:1804-1820.
- 31. Azuara V, Perry P, Sauer S, Spivakov M, Jorgensen HF, John RM,
- Gouti M, Casanova M, Warnes G, Merkenschlager M et al.: Chromatin signatures of pluripotent cell lines. Nat Cell Biol 2006, 8:532-538

This study showed that ES cells have an epigenetic profile that is distinct from that of differentiated cell types. The authors showed that silent lineage-specific genes replicated early in ES cells compared with differentiated cells, and that many of these genes harbor both active and repressive epigenetic modifications. This led to the suggestion that lineage-specific genes are primed for expression in ES cells.

- 32.
- Bernstein BE, Mikkelsen TS, Xie X, Kamal M, Huebert DJ, Cuff J, Fry B, Meissner A, Wernig M, Plath K *et al.*: A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* 2006, **125**:315-326.

The authors used ChIP and oligonucleotide tiling arrays containing highly conserved non-coding elements that cluster within regions that coincide with developmental genes in the mouse genome. The authors showed that these regions consisted of large regions of H3K27 trimethylation, harboring smaller regions of H3K4me3, and they proposed that bivalent domains silence developmental genes in ES cells while maintaining these genes in a transcriptionally permissive state. This study also highlights the importance of DNA sequence in understanding chromatin states.

- 33. Boyer LA, Plath K, Zeitlinger J, Brambrink T, Medeiros LA, Lee TI,
- Levine SS, Wernig M, Tajonar A, Ray MK et al.: Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic stem cells. Nature 2006, 441:349-353.

This study used ChIP combined with oligonucleotide arrays to identify the target genes for Polycomb group proteins in murine ES cells. The authors found that Polycomb complexes regulated a large set of developmental regulators that are silent in ES cells but preferentially activated upon differentiation. These results indicated that dynamic regulation of developmental pathways might be necessary for maintaining ES cell pluripotency.

- 34. Lee TI, Jenner RG, Boyer LA, Guenther MG, Levine SS, Kumar RM,
- Chevalier B, Johnstone SE, Cole MF, Isono K et al.: Control of developmental regulators by Polycomb in human embryonic stem cells. Cell 2006, 125:301-313.

This study used a similar approach as described above [33\*] to map the Polycomb group protein SUZ12 throughout the non-repeat portion of the genome in human ES cells. These authors found that SUZ12 occupied the promoter regions of a large group of developmental regulators containing some of the most highly conserved non-coding elements in the genome. Additionally, OCT4, SOX2 and NANOG co-occupied a significant subset of these aenes.

- Ringrose L, Paro R: Epigenetic regulation of cellular memory by 35. the Polycomb and Trithorax group proteins. Annu Rev Genet 2004. 38:413-443
- Levine SS, Weiss A, Erdjument-Bromage H, Shao Z, Tempst P, 36. Kingston RE: The core of the Polycomb repressive complex is compositionally and functionally conserved in flies and humans. Mol Cell Biol 2002, 22:6070-6078.
- 37. Voncken JW, Roelen BA, Roefs M, de Vries S, Verhoeven E, Marino S, Deschamps J, van Lohuizen M: Rnf2 (Ring1b)

deficiency causes gastrulation arrest and cell cycle inhibition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003, 100:2468-2473.

- 38. Pasini D, Bracken AP, Jensen MR, Lazzerini Denchi E, Helin K: Suz12 is essential for mouse development and for EZH2 histone methyltransferase activity. EMBO J 2004, 23:4061-4071
- 39. O'Carroll D. Erhardt S. Pagani M. Barton SC. Surani MA. Jenuwein T: The Polycomb-group gene *EzA* is required for early mouse development. *Mol Cell Biol* 2001, **21**:4330-4336.
- Shumacher A, Faust C, Magnuson T: Positional cloning of a 40. global regulator of anterior-posterior patterning in mice. Nature 1996, 383:250-253.
- 41. Molofsky AV, Pardal R, Iwashita T, Park IK, Clarke MF, Morrison SJ: Bmi-1 dependence distinguishes neural stem cell self-renewal from progenitor proliferation. Nature 2003, 425.962-967
- 42. Park IK, Qian D, Kiel M, Becker MW, Pihalja M, Weissman IL, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF: Bmi-1 is required for maintenance of adult self-renewing haematopoietic stem cells. Nature 2003, 423:302-305
- 43. Tolhuis B, Muijrers I, de Wit E, Teunissen H, Talhout W, van Steensel B, van Lohuizen M: Genome-wide profiling of PRC1 and PRC2 Polycomb chromatin binding in Drosophila melanogaster. Nat Genet 2006, 38:694-699.
- 44. Schwartz YB, Kahn TG, Nix DA, Li XY, Bourgon R, Biggin M, Pirrotta V: Genome-wide analysis of Polycomb targets in Drosophila melanogaster. Nat Genet 2006, 38:700-705.
- 45. Negre N, Hennetin J, Sun LV, Lavrov S, Bellis M, White KP Cavalli G: Chromosomal distribution of PcG proteins during Drosophila development. PLoS Biol 2006, 4:e170.
- 46. Bracken AP, Dietrich N, Pasini D, Hansen KH, Helin K: Genome-wide mapping of Polycomb target genes unravels their roles in cell fate transitions. Genes Dev 2006, 20:1123-1136.
- 47. Morin-Kensicki EM, Faust C, LaMantia C, Magnuson T: Cell and tissue requirements for the gene *Eed* during mouse gastrulation and organogenesis. Genesis 2001, 31:142-146.
- 48. Martin C, Zhang Y: The diverse functions of histone lysine methylation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2005, 6:838-849
- 49. Dillon N, Festenstein R: Unravelling heterochromatin: competition between positive and negative factors regulates accessibility. Trends Genet 2002, 18:252-258.
- 50. Perry P, Sauer S, Billon N, Richardson WD, Spivakov M, Warnes G, Livesey FJ, Merkenschlager M, Fisher AG, Azuara V: A dynamic switch in the replication timing of key regulator genes in embryonic stem cells upon neural induction. Cell Cycle 2004, 3:1645-1650.
- 51. Kaji K, Caballero IM, MacLeod R, Nichols J, Wilson VA
- Hendrich B: The NuRD component Mbd3 is required for pluripotency of embryonic stem cells. Nat Cell Biol 2006, 8:285-292.

These authors used a conventional genetics approach to study the role of Mbd3, a component of the NuRD complex, in pluripotent cells. This study found that Mbd3 is necessary for lineage commitment during ES cell differentiation.

- 52. Shin TH, Mello CC: Chromatin regulation during C. elegans germline development. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2003, 13:455-462.
- 53. Armstrong L, Lako M, Dean W: Stojkovic M: Epigenetic modification is central to genome reprogramming in somatic cell nuclear transfer. Stem Cells 2006, 24:805-814.